Wintersemester 2016/2017 Biomolecular Engineering/Nanobiophysics Module

LECTION 4: PROTEIN FOLDING



LECTION 4: PROTEIN FOLDING

- Protein folding and denaturation
- Anfinsen's dogma
- > Folding of α and β -secondary structures
- Hydrophobic effect
- Levinthal's paradox
- Protein vs other polymers
- Thermodynamics and kinetics of protein folding
- Protein folding in the cell
- Computational approaches to study folding
- > CASP
- Case study: folding of an interdomain linker



FOLDING AND DENATURATION

• Protein folding is the process by which a protein structure assumes its native (functional) conformation.

 Protein denaturation is the process by which a protein loses its native conformation.



Reaction coordinate

FOLDING: 1D=>3D

AA sequence

Protein structure

>FASTER_FORMAT_SEQUENCE

MDFGSLETVVANSAFIAARGSFDASSGPASRDRKYLARLKLPPLSKCEALR ESLDLGFEGMCLEQPIGKRLFQQFLRTHEQHGPALQLWKDIEDYDTADDAL RPQKAQALRAAYLEPQAQLFCSFLDAETVARARAGAGDGLFQPLLRAVLAH LGQAPFQEFLDSLYFLRFLQWKWLEAQPMGEDWFLDFRVLGRGGFGEVFAC QMKATGKLYACKKLNKKRLKKRKGYQGAMVEKKILAKVHSRFIVSLAYAFE TKTDLCLVMTIMNGGDIRYHIYNVDEDNPGFQEPRAIFYTAQIVSGLEHLH QRNIIYRDLKPENVLLDDDGNVRISDLGLAVELKAGQTKTKGYAGTPGFMA PELLLGEEYDFSVDYFALGVTLYEMIAARGPFRARGEKVENKELKQRVLEQ AVTYPDKFSPASKDFCEALLQKDPEKRLGFRDGSCDGLRTHPLFRDISWRQ LEAGMLTPPFVPDSRTVYAKNIQDVGAFSTVKGVAFEKADTEFFQEFASGT CPIPWQEEMIETGVFGDLNVWRPDGHHHHHH



In general — NOW — computationally unfeasible task

DENATURATION

Caused by:

- temperature (high and low)
- pH
- ionic strength
- pressure
- > Reversibility:
 - reversible
 - irreversible
- Structural levels affected:
 - Tertiary
 - Secondary
 - * S-S bridges
- Structural levels not affected:
 - Covalent bonds
 - Primary structure









PROTEIN STATES

> Native:

- native function
- accurately defined contacts/residus packing (CD, fluorescence)
- fixed H-exchange rate (NMR)
- Molten globule:
 - still compact but no unique packing
 - hydrophobic core fluctuates
 - secondary structure, S-S bonds
 - increased mobility of side-chains
 - partial solvent accessibility of Trp
 - absence of some «remote» contacts
- ≻ Coil:
 - function is lost
 - most of contacts are lost
 - secondary structure partly affected



TRANSITION: «ALL OR NOTHING»

Protein changes from not native to native state by dramatic change of properties: heat capacity, single molecule energy distribution etc.





ANFINSEN'S DOGMA

- Protein's native structure is determined by its as sequence and it is:
 - unique
 - stable
 - kinetically accessible
- > Anfinsen's experiment (1957):
 - Bovine ribonuclease A
 - Denaturation
 - Works for not covalently modified proteins
 - ΔG_{folding}~1-10 kcal/mol



LEVINTHAL'S PARADOX

- How much time needs protein to be folded?
 - Every amino acid ~ 10 conformations
 - 100-aa polypeptide ~ 10¹⁰⁰ conformations
 - Time of 1 conformational change ~ 10⁻¹³ s
 - 10⁸⁰ years needed, Universe life ~ 10¹⁰
 - In reality: folding time ~ minutes-days
- Is the native conformation the most stable???
- > Kinetics or thermodynamics???



MANY SEQUENCES/FEW FOLDS

SCOP (2008): 1393 folds

FRAMEWORK MODEL





- ≻ ↑T => ↓ helix content (helicity)
- ➤ Time_{initiation} ≈ Time_{full elongation}
- > Rate ≈ 1 aa/ns

β-SHEET ORGANIZATION



 $\geq \beta$ -sheet assembles much slower than α -helix (~ms-hours or weeks).

β-SHEET ORGANIZATION



Unstable

- \geq External β -layers have higher energies than internal.
- > II type of transition.
- > High energetical barrier.

β-SHEET ORGANIZATION

 $g_{\beta} + \delta g_{\beta}$ - energy of a residue within β - sheet + at the border

 $g_{\beta} + \delta g_{\beta} < 0 - \beta - hairpin is stable$

 $g_{\beta} + \delta g_{\beta} > 0 - \beta - hairpin is unstable$

 $N_{\min} = \frac{g_{\beta} + \delta g_{\beta}}{-g_{\beta}} - Minimum number of residues required$

- > No equilibrium with unfolded structure.
- Initiation is a limiting stage.
- > Stable hairpins form as fast as α -helices.
- ≻ V~N^{3/2}
- > <n(α)>=11; <n(β)>=6



SECONDARY STRUCTURE PROPENSITIES

The Ramachandran Plot.



- α-forming: Met, Ala, Leu, Glu, Gln, Lys.
- $\succ \beta$ -forming: Thr, Ile, Val, Phe, Tyr, Trp.
- Disorder-supporting: Gly, Ser, Pro, Asp, Asn.
- Indifferent to secondary structure: His, Arg, Cys.

FOLDING DRIVING FORCES

$\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S$

EnthalpyEntropy



Solvent

$$V(\vec{r}) = \sum_{bonds} K_r (r - r_{eq})^2 + \sum_{angles} K_{\theta} (\theta - \theta_{eq})^2 + \sum_{dihedrals} \frac{V_n}{2} (1 + \cos[n\phi - \gamma]) + \sum_{i < j}^{atoms} (\frac{A_{ij}}{R_{ij}^{12}} - \frac{B_{ij}}{R_{ij}^{6}}) + \sum_{i < j}^{atoms} \frac{q_i q_j}{\epsilon R_{ij}}$$

- Electrostatic potential:
 - H-bonds
 - Salt bridges
- Lennard-Jones potential
 - vdW contacts

HYDROPHOBIC EFFECT

- > $[CH_4]$ above water surface = 10 $[CH_4]$ in water
- > 90% of work spent on protein folding
- Hydrophobic molecules:
 - disturb H-bonds
 - do no create H-bonds themselves





Hydrophobic Residues



HYDROPHOBIC EFFECT

 $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ E \succ Hydrophobicity = function (T) - T↑ up to 420K, HΦ↑, melting surfacial H-bonds - T↑ (>420K), HΦ↓ 0 ΛH ≻ ∆G=k∆ASA; k~10⁻² kcal/(mol·Å) T∆S ∆G(100 aa)~kcal/mol 283 420 Index of hydrophobicity for amino acids: equilibrium constant between water and nonpolar solvent Hydrophobicity OMH (arbitrary units) Frömmel (kcal / mol) FYILMYWC APSRHGKQND

Amino acid

T, K

POLYMER vs GAS



Why protein does not fold like a normal polymer?

PHYSICS OF «ALL OR NOTHING»

- Protein is not a standard polymer: high heterogeneity.
- Rigid backbone and more flexible side-chains.
- > V_{vdW} occupy 70-80% of $V_{protein}$. Roughly equal distribution of free V.

Rotamers associated entropy jump occurs in unfolding + action of solvent (minimal frustration concept).

Density remains high.





POLYMER *vs* **PROTEIN: SPECTRUM**



- Protein has anomal stable energetic state (native).
- > ΔE~10 kcal/mol => p=exp(-ΔE/kT)~10⁻⁸
- > If there are > 1 stable structure $p=exp(-2\Delta E/kT)\sim 10^{-16}$
 - polyLys, prions, β-amyloids, serpins

THERMODYNAMICS vs KINETICS

Protein folding is not only determined by a native state thermodynamical properties but also by a kinetic path:

- fast
- selected during evolution
- leads to native state
- Energy landscapes have hierarchical organization





FUNNELS

• The folding funnel hypothesis is a the energy landscape theory of protein folding assuming that a protein's native state corresponds to its free energy minimum.





> One path or several:

- thermodynamic view
- statistical physics view

TRANSITIONAL STATE

For small proteins (one-domain proteins) folding process includes only one energetical barrier.



Reaction coordinate

ESTIMATION OF G[#] BARRIER

During folding:

- $\Delta H = An + Bn^{2/3}$, decreases
- Δ S = Cn + Dn^{2/3}, decreases
- $\Delta G = \Delta H$ -T $\Delta S =>$ equilibrium F \leftrightarrow U if there were no surfacial effects!
- ≻ G[#] ~ n^{2/3}
- > Folding time ~ exp [(1 ±0.5)N^{2/3}] 10ns, defined by amount of native contacts.



CHEVRON FIGURES

$$K_{B:A} = \frac{n_B^{\infty}}{n_A^{\infty}} = \frac{k_{A \to B}}{k_{B \to A}}$$

$$\frac{dn_A}{dt} = -k_{A \to B}n_A + k_{B \to A}n_B$$

 $n_A + n_B = n_0$

$$n_{A}(t) = (n_{A}(0) - n_{A}^{\infty}) e^{-(k_{A \to B} + k_{B \to A})} + n_{A}^{\infty}$$

$$k_{obs} = k_{A \to B} + k_{B \to A}$$



MUTAGENESIS IN FOLDING STUDIES

Mutation affects:

- folding rate
- stability of the native state
- Nucleation mechanism

$$\varphi_f = \frac{\delta(G^{\ddagger} - G_U)}{\delta(G_F - G_U)}$$

> $\Phi_{f} \approx 1$, residue is in folding nucleus; $\Phi_{f} \approx 0$, not.

Most of mutations in nucleus affect stability of the native structure.



FOLDING IN THE CELL

- > Ribosome synthesis ~ 1 minute.
- Synthesis speed is not constant.
- For multidomain proteins N-terminal domains are folded before the synthesis is completed.
- > Domain is a unit of folding (*in vitro*; globin).
- Cotranslational folding (luciferase).
- Self-organization experiments: chemical synthesis and cyclic proteins.





ENERGETICS OF COTRANSLATIONAL FOLDING



Conformational energy

Reaction coordinate

CHAPERONS





OH

Hsp(Heat Shock Proteins): Hsp60, Hsp70, OH - 0^Θ 0=b Hsp100 etc. Regulated by T, pH etc. cis trans > Use ATP hydrolysis \succ Decrease the hydrophobic \triangle ASA 0 HaN NH NH Ribosomes selves H₂N OH Prolyl- and disulfide-isomerase HO

COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES

≻ MD

- replica exchange
- Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
- Clustering by contacts/RMSD/radius of gyration/H-bonds
- Monte Carlo
- «Zip and assemble» approach
- > Up to 100 aa proteins could be tractable:
 - 36-residue villin, RMSD = 4.5 Å (Duan, Kollman, 1998)
 - 20-residue Trp-cage peptide, RMSD = 1 Å (Simmerling, 2002)
 - 47-residue albumin-binding domain, RMSD = 2 Å (Lei, 2007)
 - β-hairpins up to 20-residues



CASP COMPETITION

- <u>Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction</u>
- predictioncenter.com
- <u>Goal</u>: to obtain an in-depth and objective assessment of current abilities
- in the area of protein structure prediction
- Prediction of 'soon known structures', no postpredictions
- CASP questions:
 - Models similarity to the corresponding experimental structures
 - Mapping of the target sequence onto the proposed structure
 - Model usefulness for similar structures
 - Model accuracy vs best template use
 - Has there been progress from the earlier CASPs?
 - What methods are most effective?
 - Where can future effort be most productively focused?

CASP Experiments
CASP ROLL
CASP10 (2012)
CASP9 (2010)
CASP8 (2008)
CASP7 (2006)
CASP6 (2004)
CASP5 (2002)
CASP5 (2002)
CASP4 (2000)
CASP3 (1998)
CASP2 (1996)
CASP1 (1994)



SCOPE OF CASP

- > Tertiary structure prediction:
 - The 'Template based modelling'
 - The 'Template free modelling'
- Detailed analysis of the side chains, loops, and active sites for those structure models where the backbone is sufficiently accurate.
- Success in refining models beyond the quality obtained by simply copying from a single template will be analyzed.
- Other prediction cathegories:
 - Detecting residue-residue contacts in proteins.
 - Identifying disordered regions in target proteins.
 - Function prediction (prediction of binding sites).



- Quality assessment of models in general and the reliability of predicting certain residues in particular.

CASP EXAMPLE



FOLDIT



http://fold.it/

FOLDING@HOME



you could help find a cure

PLAY VIDEO

Help Stanford University scientists studying Alzheimer's, Huntington's, Parkinson's, and many cancers by simply running a piece of software on your computer.

The problems we are trying to solve require so many calculations, we ask people to donate their unused computer power to crunch some of the numbers.

FOLDING@HOME

In just 5 minutes ... Add your computer to over 163,000 others around the world outputting 38,000 teraflops of computing power to form the world's largest distributed supercomputer.

> Step 1. Download protein folding simulation software called Folding@home.

Step 2. Run the installation. The software will automatically start up and open a web browser with your control panel.

Step 3. Follow the instructions to Start Folding.

Stanford University will send your computer a folding problem to solve. When your first job is completed, your computer will swap the results for a new job.

START FOLDING





> No structure of KDR motif in the linker is available

Aim: to calculate the conformation of the linker

> Metrhodology: REMD

Peptides: KDR, KKDRA, PKKDRAR, RPKKDRARQ

KDR



PC1







PC1







The studied interdomain linker folds into α -helix

LECTION 4: PROTEIN FOLDING

- Protein folding and denaturation: «all or nothing»
- Anfinsen's dogma
- > Folding of α and β -secondary structures
- Hydrophobic effect
- Levinthal's paradox
- Protein vs other polymers
- Thermodynamics and kinetics of protein folding
- Protein folding in the cell
- Computational approaches to study folding
- > CASP
- Case study: folding of an interdomain linker

